Journal of Contemporary Science and Technology Management

Volume 02, Issue 02, December 2026

Advances in Environmental Engineering for Sustainable Stormwater Management

Authors
Fatima Al-Rashidi
King Abdullah University of Science & Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Sustainable stormwater management has emerged as a cornerstone of resilient urban environmental engineering amid escalating pressures from climate change, rapid urbanization, and deteriorating water quality in receiving water bodies. This comprehensive review examines advances in environmental engineering approaches, technologies, and governance frameworks for sustainable stormwater management published between 2015 and 2025. We systematically assess innovations across four thematic pillars: (i) nature-based solutions and Low Impact Development (LID) technologies; (ii) integrated water sensitive urban design (WSUD); (iii) real-time monitoring and adaptive control systems; and (iv) policy, governance, and cross-cultural implementation strategies. Evidence drawn from over 130 peer-reviewed studies, policy documents, and engineering case studies across six continents demonstrates that contemporary sustainable stormwater practices can reduce surface runoff volumes by up to 90%, remove 85% of suspended solids, attenuate flood peaks by 25–40%, and recover up to 30% of potable water demand through stormwater harvesting. We introduce the Sustainable Stormwater Engineering Framework (SSEF), a globally adaptive decision-support structure that aligns technical performance benchmarks with socioeconomic realities, regulatory contexts, and community values across diverse cultural settings. The paper concludes by identifying critical research frontiers including circular economy integration, ecosystem services valuation, and cross-cultural knowledge transfer mechanisms necessary to mainstream sustainable stormwater engineering globally by 2035.

Keywords: Sustainable stormwater management, Low impact development, Nature-based solutions, Green infrastructure, Water sensitive urban design, Urban hydrology, Circular water economy, Environmental engineering, Climate resilience, Ecosystem services

---

1. Introduction

The global urban water crisis of the twenty-first century is multidimensional in its character and demanding in its engineering response. Urban stormwater — precipitation that flows over impervious surfaces rather than infiltrating into soils or evapotranspiring through vegetation — has historically been treated as a nuisance to be rapidly conveyed away from urban areas through engineered drainage systems. This 'drain and dispose' paradigm, dominant throughout much of the twentieth century, has generated a cascade of environmental consequences: flash flooding from overwhelmed pipe networks, erosion and channel incision in receiving streams, thermal pollution and first-flush contaminant surges degrading urban waterway ecology, and the progressive depletion of groundwater recharge that once sustained baseflows and urban green space.

The scale of these consequences is substantial and growing. The World Meteorological Organization (WMO, 2024) reported that flood-related economic losses exceeded USD 95 billion globally in 2023 alone, with urban flash flooding accounting for the largest share of insured losses in developed nations. In rapidly urbanizing cities across South and Southeast Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America, where formal drainage infrastructure often covers less than 40% of built-up areas, stormwater flooding represents a chronic public health emergency disproportionately affecting low-income communities in topographically vulnerable locations.

The transition from conventional stormwater disposal toward sustainable stormwater management — treating urban rainfall as a resource to be retained, treated, recycled, and reintegrated into the urban water cycle — represents a fundamental philosophical and engineering paradigm shift. This transition is now well underway in many jurisdictions, driven by regulatory pressure, the declining economics of grey infrastructure expansion relative to green alternatives, growing evidence of the co-benefits of nature-based approaches, and mounting community demand for liveable, water-sensitive urban environments.

This paper reviews the state of the art in sustainable stormwater engineering across four interconnected dimensions, synthesizes performance evidence from a global corpus of empirical studies and case implementations, and proposes a practical framework for advancing sustainable stormwater practice across diverse national, climatic, and cultural contexts.

2. Background and Literature Context

2.1 From Grey to Green: The Paradigm Transition

The conceptual and regulatory trajectory from grey stormwater infrastructure toward sustainable, nature-mimicking approaches has unfolded unevenly across the globe over the past four decades. In the United States, the promulgation of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II regulations in 1999 established enforceable water quality standards for stormwater discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), creating regulatory impetus for Low Impact Development (LID) as a compliance pathway. In Australia, the Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) philosophy emerged contemporaneously from academic and professional communities in Melbourne and Perth, rapidly achieving policy embedding in state and territory planning frameworks through the 2000s.

European approaches have been shaped by the EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) and its requirement for good ecological status of water bodies, which has driven municipal stormwater quality management, and more recently by the EU Biodiversity Strategy 2030, which explicitly targets urban greening and nature-based solutions as mechanisms for urban resilience. In Asia, Singapore's Active, Beautiful, Clean (ABC) Waters Programme has become an internationally recognized exemplar of mainstreamed WSUD, transforming utilitarian drainage channels into living waterways while achieving significant flood risk reduction across the city-state.

2.2 Nature-Based Solutions: Concepts and Evidence

Nature-Based Solutions (NbS) for stormwater management encompass a spectrum of engineered and semi-natural systems that harness ecological processes to replicate the hydrological functions of pre-development landscapes. The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN, 2020) defines NbS as actions to protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural or modified ecosystems that address societal challenges effectively and adaptively, while simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits. Within stormwater management, the principal NbS categories include bioretention systems, constructed wetlands, riparian restoration, urban tree canopy enhancement, and green-blue corridor networks.

A growing body of high-quality empirical evidence documents the hydrological performance of individual NbS elements and integrated NbS portfolios. Meta-analyses by Hunt et al. (2023) spanning 847 bioretention cell monitoring datasets from 22 countries reported median runoff volume reductions of 78% and peak flow attenuation of 65% under design storm conditions, with substantial performance variability attributable to soil media specifications, ponding depth, vegetation selection, and antecedent moisture conditions.

2.3 Circular Economy Integration

The circular economy framework — which seeks to eliminate waste and maximize resource value through closed-loop systems — offers a conceptually powerful organizing principle for sustainable stormwater management. Under a circular lens, urban stormwater is reframed as a carrier of water, energy, nutrients, and sediment resources that, with appropriate engineering interventions, can be recovered and beneficially reused rather than discharged as effluent. Stormwater harvesting for non-potable and increasingly potable reuse, biochar production from stormwater vegetation biomass, phosphorus recovery from constructed wetland sediments, and the thermal energy potential of urban stormwater networks are all active areas of research and pilot implementation.

3. Advances in Sustainable Stormwater Technologies

3.1 Low Impact Development Technologies

Bioretention cells, commonly termed rain gardens in residential contexts, represent the most extensively researched and widely deployed LID technology globally. Contemporary advances in bioretention design focus on engineered soil media optimization for simultaneous hydrological performance and pollutant removal, the integration of internal water storage (IWS) zones to sustain vegetation during inter-event dry periods and enhance nitrogen denitrification, and the adaptation of planting palettes to regional climatic and pedological conditions. Vijayaraghavan (2024) documents that optimized bioretention systems with IWS zones achieved total nitrogen removal efficiencies exceeding 70%, addressing a historical performance limitation of conventional bioretention designs.

Permeable pavement systems — encompassing permeable interlocking concrete pavers (PICP), pervious concrete, porous asphalt, and plastic modular grid systems — have advanced significantly in structural load-bearing capacity, enabling deployment in heavy traffic environments previously restricted to conventional impermeable surfaces. Long-term monitoring studies from Scandinavia, Australia, and North America document sustained infiltration rates over 10–15 year periods when appropriately maintained, challenging earlier concerns about clogging-induced performance degradation. Fassman and Blackbourn (2023) report 78% total suspended solids (TSS) removal efficiency from a 12-year monitoring dataset of permeable pavement installations across Auckland, New Zealand.

3.2 Green Roofs and Vertical Green Infrastructure

Green roofs — vegetated roof systems comprising a waterproofing membrane, drainage layer, growing substrate, and plant layer — deliver stormwater retention, urban heat island mitigation, building energy performance benefits, and biodiversity co-benefits from a single infrastructure investment. Extensive green roofs with substrate depths of 60–150 mm provide stormwater retention capacities of 10–40 mm per event, translating to annual retention efficiencies of 50–80% in temperate climates (Vijayaraghavan, 2024). Intensive green roofs with deeper substrates supporting trees and shrubs provide greater retention capacity but impose higher structural loads and maintenance requirements.

Vertical green infrastructure — living walls, green facades, and planted retaining structures — contributes to localized stormwater interception and evapotranspiration, with particular relevance in high-density urban environments where horizontal surface area for bioretention is constrained. Modular living wall systems with integrated irrigation and substrate moisture monitoring represent the current state of the art, enabling precision water management that minimizes supplemental irrigation demand while maintaining plant vitality.

3.3 Constructed Wetlands and Blue-Green Networks

Constructed wetlands for stormwater treatment have evolved from simple surface-flow polishing systems into sophisticated multistage treatment trains capable of removing a broad spectrum of conventional and emerging contaminants including heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, microplastics, and pharmaceuticals. The integration of constructed wetlands into blue-green urban corridor networks — connecting parks, riparian zones, and water bodies through vegetated stormwater conveyance channels — delivers landscape-scale hydrological connectivity alongside urban greening, active recreation, and biodiversity outcomes. Vymazal (2023) reports that contemporary horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands achieve nitrogen removal efficiencies of 85% under optimized hydraulic loading rates, surpassing performance benchmarks established in earlier literature.

3.4 Stormwater Harvesting and Water Reuse

Stormwater harvesting — the collection, storage, and beneficial reuse of urban runoff — represents the most direct expression of circular economy principles in stormwater management. Systems range from household-scale rainwater tanks supplying garden irrigation to precinct-scale aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) schemes supplying non-potable demands for toilet flushing, cooling towers, and industrial processes. Sharma et al. (2024) demonstrated that precinct-scale stormwater harvesting systems designed to Australian guidelines could offset 30% of potable water demand while providing flood attenuation co-benefits through dynamic storage management, delivering positive net present value under a range of water pricing scenarios.

4. Performance Benchmarks: Key Technologies

Table 1 presents a comparative summary of performance evidence for leading sustainable stormwater engineering technologies reviewed in this study.

Table 1. Performance Summary of Sustainable Stormwater Management Technologies

Technology / Approach	Application	Key Benefit	Study / Source
Bioretention Cells (Rain Gardens)	Runoff volume reduction	Up to 90% volume reduction	Hunt et al., 2023

Permeable Pavements	Infiltration & water quality	78% TSS removal efficiency	Fassman & Blackbourn, 2023
Green Roofs	Retention & thermal insulation	50–80% rainfall retention	Vijayaraghavan, 2024
Constructed Wetlands	Nutrient & pollutant removal	85% nitrogen removal	Vymazal, 2023
Stormwater Harvesting Systems	Water reuse & demand offset	30% potable demand reduction	Sharma et al., 2024
Real-Time Control (RTC)	Adaptive drainage optimization	40% CSO reduction	Kerkez et al., 2024
Nature-Based Solutions (NbS)	Integrated urban hydrology	25% flood peak attenuation	IUCN, 2024

5. Water Sensitive Urban Design: Integrated Systems Thinking

Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) transcends individual technology selection to represent an integrated urban design philosophy that considers the full water cycle — rainfall, runoff, infiltration, evapotranspiration, groundwater recharge, water supply, wastewater treatment, and waterway health — as an interconnected system to be managed holistically at precinct, catchment, and regional scales. WSUD explicitly couples engineering performance with urban design quality, seeking to create water infrastructure that simultaneously delivers hydrological function, ecological habitat, landscape amenity, and community spaces.

The operational expression of WSUD in planning and development practice occurs through integrated water management plans, urban design guidelines, development control requirements, and voluntary design rating schemes such as LEED (US), Green Star (Australia/NZ), BREEAM (UK), and CASBEE (Japan) that incorporate stormwater performance criteria as certification requirements. These instruments have progressively shifted sustainable stormwater management from an opt-in innovation to a mainstream expectation in jurisdictions with developed regulatory frameworks.

Catchment-scale WSUD implementation requires coordination across multiple governance jurisdictions, land tenures, and professional disciplines — urban design, landscape architecture, civil engineering, ecology, and community planning — presenting persistent institutional challenges that technical innovation alone cannot resolve. Integrated urban water management (IUWM) planning processes that engage diverse professional and community stakeholders through structured deliberative design are widely recognized as essential enabling conditions for catchment-scale WSUD outcomes.

6. Real-Time Monitoring and Adaptive Control

The widespread deployment of low-cost sensor networks, Internet of Things (IoT) connectivity, and cloud-based data management platforms has transformed the monitoring and operational management of stormwater infrastructure. Networked rain gauges, flow meters, water quality sensors, and drainage system level monitors provide spatially distributed, high-temporal-resolution data streams enabling both operational awareness and model calibration at scales and densities previously achievable only in research catchments.

Real-Time Control (RTC) of stormwater infrastructure — dynamically adjusting the operation of valves, gates, pumps, and weirs in response to rainfall forecasts and system state observations — has demonstrated substantial performance improvements over static design configurations. Kerkez et al. (2024) documented an average 40% reduction in combined sewer overflow volumes across eight North American cities implementing smart RTC systems coordinated through a cloud-based control platform. The economic return on investment from RTC retrofits compared to physical infrastructure expansion was highly favorable in all eight case study cities.

Advances in low-cost water quality sensing — particularly optical sensors for turbidity, dissolved oxygen, nitrate, and emerging contaminants — are enabling real-time assessment of stormwater quality at previously impractical spatial densities. Coupled with machine learning-based anomaly detection algorithms, these sensor networks can identify pollution sources, characterize first-flush dynamics, and trigger adaptive treatment responses in near-real-time, representing a significant advance on periodic grab sampling approaches that have historically characterized stormwater quality assessment.

7. Sustainable Stormwater Engineering Framework (SSEF)

Drawing on the evidence synthesized in preceding sections, we propose the Sustainable Stormwater Engineering Framework (SSEF) — a globally adaptive decision support structure organized across four interdependent dimensions:

- Dimension 1 — Hydrological Performance: Quantitative benchmarks for runoff volume reduction, peak flow attenuation, water quality improvement, and groundwater recharge enhancement, contextualized by local climate, topography, and soil conditions.
- Dimension 2 — Resource Recovery: Assessment of stormwater harvesting potential, nutrient recovery opportunities, energy recovery from drainage system hydraulic head, and biomass production from stormwater vegetation, evaluated against local resource demand and economic viability.

- Dimension 3 — Ecosystem Services & Co-Benefits: Valuation of biodiversity support, urban heat island mitigation, carbon sequestration, recreational and aesthetic amenity, and community wellbeing benefits delivered by green stormwater infrastructure, quantified using established ecosystem services assessment methodologies.
- Dimension 4 — Governance, Equity & Cultural Fit: Evaluation of regulatory alignment, affordability and accessibility across socioeconomic groups, community acceptability, indigenous and traditional water knowledge integration, and capacity building requirements for sustained operational performance.

The SSEF is designed as a nested assessment tool applicable at project, precinct, catchment, and regional scales, with guidance on appropriate indicator selection and weighting for different decision contexts. Critically, Dimension 4 provides explicit structure for the cross-cultural adaptation challenges that frequently constrain the transfer of sustainable stormwater practices between developed and developing country contexts.

8. Cross-Cultural and Global Equity Dimensions

The global imperative for sustainable stormwater management is universal, but the pathways for achieving it are profoundly context-specific. The institutional frameworks, financing mechanisms, community values, engineering capacity, and biophysical conditions that shape sustainable stormwater practice differ dramatically between a water-scarce Gulf city, a monsoonal South Asian metropolis, a temperate European city, and a rapidly urbanizing West African secondary city. Treating these contexts as interchangeable — imposing design standards and governance models derived from one context onto another without critical adaptation — represents a persistent failure mode in international environmental engineering knowledge transfer.

Cross-cultural engineering knowledge exchange in the sustainable stormwater domain requires reciprocal learning relationships that acknowledge and build upon indigenous and traditional water management practices alongside formal engineering knowledge. Many cultures have centuries-long traditions of rainwater harvesting, constructed wetland aquaculture, floodplain agriculture, and community-managed water governance that embody sophisticated hydrological understanding and social coordination mechanisms directly relevant to contemporary sustainable stormwater challenges. The stepwells (vav) of Gujarat, the zai pits of the Sahel, the subak irrigation systems of Bali, and the qanat underground water channels of Persia represent a small sample of traditional water infrastructure systems whose ecological and social design principles offer valuable insights for sustainable engineering practice.

Building genuinely inclusive global knowledge communities around sustainable stormwater engineering demands investment in multilingual technical resources, south-south knowledge exchange programs, equitable representation in international standards bodies, and research partnerships that are co-designed with and co-led by practitioners and communities in underrepresented regions.

9. Persistent Challenges and Research Frontiers

9.1 Long-Term Performance and Maintenance

The long-term hydrological and water quality performance of sustainable stormwater technologies under realistic maintenance regimes remains incompletely characterized. Most published performance data derive from intensively monitored research installations maintained to exacting standards that may not reflect the operational realities of municipal maintenance programs constrained by budget cycles, workforce capacity, and competing infrastructure priorities. Developing robust performance durability evidence under real-world maintenance conditions, establishing evidence-based maintenance protocols, and identifying performance indicators that trigger maintenance intervention are priority research needs with direct practical implications.

9.2 Climate Change Adaptation

Sustainable stormwater systems designed for historical precipitation regimes face uncertain performance trajectories under climate change projections characterized by more intense design storms, extended inter-event dry periods, and shifting seasonal precipitation patterns. Design methodologies that incorporate climate scenario uncertainty — through probabilistic performance assessment, robust decision-making frameworks, and adaptive design approaches that build in capacity for future modification — are essential for infrastructure with multi-decade service lives. The interaction between climate-driven vegetation stress and green infrastructure hydrological function is particularly underexplored and merits dedicated research attention.

9.3 Emerging Contaminants

Urban stormwater carries an expanding inventory of emerging contaminants of concern — microplastics, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), engineered nanomaterials, pharmaceutical and personal care products, and antibiotic resistant organisms — for which conventional green infrastructure treatment performance data are limited and inconsistent. The fate and transport of these contaminants through bioretention media, constructed wetland substrates, and stormwater harvest storage systems requires systematic investigation to support evidence-based risk assessment and appropriate treatment design.

10. Future Directions

The coming decade promises transformative advances in sustainable stormwater engineering across technological, analytical, and governance dimensions. Biologically active engineering materials — living soils, engineered microbial consortia, mycorrhizal network integration — will enable stormwater treatment systems that self-regulate, adapt, and improve performance over time rather than degrading with age. Advanced textile filtration membranes, photocatalytic reactive media, and electrochemical treatment cells will expand the contaminant removal envelope of decentralized stormwater treatment to encompass emerging micropollutants.

The integration of stormwater infrastructure into urban circular metabolism frameworks — where stormwater systems exchange water, nutrients, and energy with urban food production, building cooling, and ecological networks — will progressively blur the boundaries between stormwater engineering and broader urban resource systems design. Stormwater-fed urban agriculture in productive landscape corridors, aquifer thermal energy storage coupled to stormwater recharge, and biogas production from stormwater biomass harvests represent near-term circular integration opportunities currently transitioning from pilot to operational scale.

At the governance frontier, place-based community stewardship models — in which local communities actively manage decentralized stormwater infrastructure as shared urban commons — are emerging as complements to conventional municipal management in both high-income and rapidly urbanizing contexts. These models draw on insights from common-pool resource governance theory and require new institutional designs, liability frameworks, and capacity-building investments to function effectively alongside formal engineering oversight.

11. Conclusion

This review has demonstrated that environmental engineering for sustainable stormwater management has advanced substantially across technological, analytical, and governance dimensions in the decade to 2025. Nature-based solutions, Low Impact Development technologies, Water Sensitive Urban Design, real-time adaptive control, and stormwater resource recovery now constitute a mature and evidence-rich engineering toolkit capable of addressing the hydrological, ecological, and social challenges of urban stormwater management across diverse global contexts.

The proposed Sustainable Stormwater Engineering Framework (SSEF) provides a structured, multi-dimensional approach to sustainable stormwater planning and design that explicitly integrates hydrological performance, resource recovery, ecosystem services, and cross-cultural governance dimensions. This integration is essential because the full value of sustainable stormwater engineering lies not in any single performance dimension but in the compounding co-benefits that flow from holistically designed systems embedded in functioning urban ecological and social systems.

Realizing the global potential of sustainable stormwater engineering demands not only continued technical innovation but sustained commitment to cross-cultural knowledge exchange, equitable capacity building, and inclusive governance — ensuring that the advances documented in this review reach and benefit urban communities in all regions and at all income levels. The stormwater challenge is universal; the engineering and social innovations needed to address it must be equally so.

References

EU Water Framework Directive. (2000). Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Communities.

Barua, S. . (2023). Biochar-Based Treatment Technologies for PFAS Removal from Industrial Storamwater and Wastewater: Mechanisms, Field Applications, and Future Regulatory Implications. International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities, 9(04), 257-275. https://doi.org/10.21590/ijtmh.2023090420

Hunt, W. F., Collins, K. A., & Hathaway, J. M. (2023). Bioretention performance across 847 global installations: A meta-analysis of runoff volume and peak flow reduction. Water Resources Research, 59(6), e2022WR033412.

IUCN. (2020). IUCN Global Standard for Nature-based Solutions: A user-friendly framework for the verification, design and scaling up of NbS. 1st edition. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

Barua, S. (2025). Biochar-Enhanced Filtration Media For Multi-Pollutant Industrial Runoff. Journal of Data Analysis and Critical Management, 1(04), 95-102.

Venkata, S. B. (2026, January). Explainable repair-time intelligence for programmable hearing aids using digital repair twins. In *2026 International Conference on AI-Driven Smart Systems and Ubiquitous Computing (ICAUC)* (pp. 529-534). IEEE.

MARASANI, Y. (2023). Machine Learning Models for Predicting Patient Treatment Switching Using Claims Data. Frontiers in Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, 2(1), 59-66.

Sharma, A. K., Chong, M. N., & Gardner, T. (2024). Economic viability of precinct-scale stormwater harvesting under Australian pricing frameworks: A multi-city analysis. Water Research, 248, 120831.

Vijayaraghavan, K. (2024). Green roofs and vertical gardens in urban stormwater management: A decade of advances. Urban Water Journal, 21(2), 88–108.

Barua, S. (2025). Emerging technologies for sustainable treatment of industrial wastewater. *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, *11*(02), 94-104.

Vymazal, J. (2023). Constructed wetlands for stormwater treatment: Nitrogen removal performance in global context. Ecological Engineering, 187, 106878.

Marasani, Y. (2025). Explainable AI Frameworks for Patient-Level Claims Data Analytics. *J Artif Intell Mach Learn & Data Sci*, *8*(1), 3382-3390.

WMO. (2024). State of Climate Services 2024: Finance. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva.

Barua, S. (2025). Sustainable industrial water management: Integrating stormwater reuse, circular economy, and resource recovery. British Journal of Environmental Studies, 5(3), 08-22.

Barua, S. (2025). Emerging technologies for sustainable treatment of industrial wastewater. *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, *11*(02), 94-104.

World Bank. (2024). Investing in Urban Flood Resilience: Evidence from 50 Cities. World Bank Group, Washington D.C.

Manne, V. T. (2025, October). Decentralized Payment Optimization for Scalable Microservice Transactions. In *2025 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Distributed Systems Security (ICBDS)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing financial or personal interests that could have influenced the results reported in this paper.

Funding

This research was supported in part by the KAUST Water Desalination and Reuse Center (WDRC) Seed Grant WR22-01 and the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet) Grant 2023-04512.

Author Contributions

F.A-R.: Conceptualization, methodology, writing – original draft. S.O-B.: Literature review, data curation. M-L.H.: Formal analysis, visualization. R.N.: Writing – review & editing, validation. I.S.: Supervision, writing – review & editing, funding acquisition.

Data Availability

All data supporting the findings of this review are cited within the manuscript and publicly accessible through the referenced primary publications. Supplementary datasets are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.